

Tennessee's Tiniest Babies: The Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infections

Tennessee Initiative for Perinatal Quality Care

Inter-Institutional Quality Improvement Project

Funded under a grant from the Tennessee Department of Health (TDH)



Project Development Leaders

Scott Guthrie, MD

State Project Leader & TIPQC Previous Infant Medical Director

Patricia A. Scott, DNP, APRN, NNP-BC, C-NPT

TIPQC Infant Quality Improvement Specialist

Betty Beverly-Brown, MSHA, RN

Jackson-Madison County General Hospital

Laura Choate, RN

Jackson-Madison County General Hospital

Kimberly Hartman, BSN, RN-C

Erlanger Children's Hospital

Carley Houser, DNP, APRN, NNP-BC

Erlanger Children's Hospital

Tabitha Kozlowski, BSN, RN

Jackson-Madison County General Hospital

Paul Molloy, DO

Methodist Lebonheur Hospital, Germantown

Kelsey Smith, BSN, RN
Jackson-Madison County General Hospital

Silvia Weiss-Reed, MT ASCP, CIC, CHIPP
Erlanger Children's Hospital

Brenda Barker, MEd, MBA
TIPQC Executive Director & QI Advisor

Alicia Mastronardi, MPH
TIPQC Data Manager

The Tennessee Initiative for Perinatal Quality Care (TIPQC) would like to thank The California Perinatal Quality Collaborative (CPQCC). We have learned from their efforts and initiatives and have adapted portions from their work in this area. Together, we can make a difference!

Project Pilot Teams

Erlanger Children's Hospital
Jackson-Madison County General Hospital
Methodist LeBonheur Hospital, Germantown
Regional One Health

Specific Acknowledgments

Thanks to those who served on the Tennessee Tiniest Babies Committee to help draft and formulate the ongoing quality improvement initiatives for the Level III and IV NICUs in the state, and especially thanks to the project leaders who met to develop and finalize this project. Your tireless effort and dedication to our state's smallest citizens is inspiring—and will result in improved care, outcomes, and better quality of life for these infants and their families.

DISCLAIMER: The authors of this toolkit used reasonable efforts to provide accurate information. The information and resources included in this toolkit are provided for informational purposes only. Nothing contained herein constitutes medical, legal, or other professional advice nor does it represent an endorsement of any treatment or a particular product. Referral to specific programs, resources, or websites does not imply endorsement by the toolkit's authors or the authors' organizations or their sponsors, contents, expressed views, programs or activities. Further, the authors do not endorse any commercial products that may be referred to in this toolkit or that may be advertised or available from these programs, resources, or websites. This toolkit is not meant to be comprehensive; the exclusion of a program, resource or website does not reflect the quality of that program, resource, or website. Please note that websites and URLs are subject to change without advance notice.

Table of Contents

Problem	4
Project Description	4
Rationale	6
Expected Outcomes and Benefits	6
Aim Statement	7
Summary of Evidence: <i>Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infections (HAI)</i>	8
Potentially Better Practices for the Prevention of HAI	9
Foster a Culture of Safety and Target Zero Hospital-Acquired Infections	10
Establish Hand Hygiene Standards and Compliance Monitoring	11
Maintain a Clean and Sanitary Environment	12
Standardize Central Line, Urinary Catheter, and Nasogastric/Orogastric Tube Placement, Maintenance, and Removal; Provide Cautionary Steps for Eye Care	13
Protect Fragile Skin with Disinfection, Cleansing, Dressing Securement, and Injury Prevention Measures	15
Defend Against Respiratory Infections with Ventilator Maintenance and Visitation Guidelines	17
Engage Parents and Families in Infection Prevention	18
Use Antibiotics Appropriately	19
Early Onset Sepsis (EOS) Evaluation and Management	19
Late Onset Sepsis (LOS) Evaluation and Management	22
Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) Management	24
Nursing Focus: Education & Nursing Care	25
Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH), Chronic Lung Disease (CLD), and Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC)	25
Measures: <i>How will we know that a change is an improvement?</i>	25
Target population	25
Outcome measures	25
Process measures	28
Balancing measures	29
Structure measures	29
Sustainment measures	30
Data Collection	30
Key Driver Diagram	31
References	32
Appendix 1 - Definitions	33
Appendix 2 - Nursing Focus: Education, Assessment, & Nursing Care	36

Introduction: What are we trying to accomplish?

Problem

Tennessee's preterm birth rate is amongst the highest in the nation as approximately 11% of all births in Tennessee are preterm.¹ Deaths secondary to prematurity are one of the leading contributors to Tennessee's high infant mortality rate.² This has historically been approximately 7 deaths per 1,000 live births.³ A stated national priority in the "2030 Healthy People" objectives is the reduction of the US infant mortality rate to 5 deaths per 1,000 live births.⁴ For Tennessee to meet this goal, there will need to be a reduction of approximately 25% of the current infant mortality rate.

Preterm birth is a multi-factorial problem that, despite national and state efforts remains relatively unchanged.^{5 6} Specifically, an increase in the number of the earliest preterm births (infants with birth weights of <750 g and gestational age of <28 weeks) has been implicated as the primary contributor to infant mortality rates.⁷ Very preterm births, defined as <32 weeks and birth weight <1500g, represented 33% of all infant deaths in one report. In this study, more than two thirds of deaths attributable to preterm birth occurred during the first day of life and 27% of deaths occurred within the next 27 days.⁸

Variation in outcomes among NICUs have also been reported.^{9 10 11} Practice variation, even amongst providers in the same group, can be a contributing factor to these varied outcomes.^{12 13} We have fortunately witnessed significant progress in the care of preterm infants over the past few decades. These advances have gradually moved from new technologies and medicines to how we care for these infants. This has led to improved survival rates and outcomes by the centers who have focused on improving the delivery of care.¹⁴

Tennessee has thirteen level 3 and 4 NICUs across the state who care for infants <32 weeks and a birth weight <1500g. In 2020, 1,352 babies, or 1.7% of live births, were born very preterm in Tennessee and cared for at these facilities.³ Statistically, this group of infants represents approximately one-third of our state's infant deaths which include different outcomes amongst racial and ethnic groups. To lower Tennessee's infant mortality rate, meet the goal as put forth in the "2030 Healthy People" objectives, and improve care, a collaborative approach to the care along with the implementation of effective care strategies for Tennessee's Tiniest Babies should be undertaken.


Project Description

We are seeking to lower Tennessee's infant mortality rate to 5 deaths per 1,000 live births by focusing on the implementation of potentially better practices (PBPs) which can improve the survival rates and the outcomes of preterm infants born at 29.6 or less weeks gestation.

The development and implementation of the "Tennessee's Tiniest Babies" (TTB) project will occur from Q3 2022 to Q3 2027. The project has been developed and will be launched in phases determined by specific care bundles – see Figure 1. The intent is to have participating hospitals implement the care bundles cumulatively – incorporating the potentially better practices from each bundle into their unit. This toolkit is focused on the Hospital Acquired Infection (HAI) care bundle. The project is proposed to end in Q3 2027, with data collection continuing through Q4 2027.

Figure 1: Tennessee's Tiniest Babies (TTB) Care Bundles

Tennessee's Tiniest Babies (TTB)
Infants born 29.6 wks or less gestation admitted to Level 3/4 TN NICU



TIPQC agrees to the following:

- Provide a toolkit (see attachments) and other resources to participating teams.
- Offer monthly huddles, quarterly learning sessions, and annual statewide meetings.
- Facilitate the sharing between participating teams, allowing them to learn from each other.
- Facilitate capture of data metrics and provide reports to participating teams to show their progress towards improvement.
- Provide guidance and feedback to participating teams, facilitating their achievement of the project aim.

Participating teams will agree to the following:

- Hold regular, at least monthly, team meetings.
- Regularly review and revise team goals, current system, opportunities for improvement, and barriers.
- Plan and conduct tests of the recommended changes detailed in this toolkit.
- After successful testing and adaptation, implement the changes in their facility.
- Attend and actively participate in the monthly huddles, quarterly learning session, and annual statewide meetings.
- Capture and submit the defined project data as required (with minimal to no data lag).
- Submit a monthly report that includes data as well as information on changes being tested and/or implemented.
- Strive to achieve the project aim and the project's process and structure measure goals:
 - At least 90% compliance on all defined process measures.
 - Have all structures (defined by the structure measures) in place by the end of the project.

A dedicated extremely low birth weight (ELBW) team of staff (physicians, NNPs, nurses, respiratory therapist, speech/physical/occupational therapists, and lactation consultants) that have received special training in care of these babies can have a big impact on overall care delivered. Many NICUs across the country have developed small baby units dedicated to providing care for the ELBW infants and have seen a significant reduction in mortality and morbidity in this population. Providing detailed guidelines to develop small baby units are out of scope for this project, but each NICU should assess its own capability /resources to develop specialized protocols/guidelines to care for their smallest babies.

Of note, success in the reduction of HAIs have been reported by NICUs who have implemented various quality improvement initiatives such as the use of central line insertion and maintenance bundles, standardized checklists, hand hygiene compliance monitoring, and multidisciplinary collaborative approaches, often achieving relative reductions in Central Line-associated Bloodstream Infection (CLABSI) rates of 60–80% and sustaining periods of zero infections in some units.¹⁵

Rationale

Despite early improvements with concerted efforts to improve the care of expectant mothers and infants, Tennessee's preterm birth rate and infant mortality rate have shown no significant, nor maintained, drop over the past decade. Twelve NICU's across the state care for infants which comprise approximately one-third of the state's infant mortality rate. No project has addressed the optimization of care in this population to improve the infant mortality rate despite this population's statistical importance.

There is a significant variation of care in the very preterm infant (29.6 weeks or less). Some NICUs in Tennessee have individually developed and implemented potentially better practices for the care of this population. Resources at other centers have made this difficult.

The California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative (CPQCC) has previously demonstrated the impact a state's PQC can have on the mortality and morbidity in the very preterm infant population. CPQCC led a Delivery Room QI Collaborative which resulted in a reduction in mortality, severe intraventricular hemorrhage (sIVH), chronic lung disease (CLD), and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in infants 29.6 weeks or less.¹⁶ As the state's perinatal care collaborative, TIPQC is poised to guide participating level 3 and 4 NICUs in the sharing of information and resources so that potentially better practices for this population can be developed and implemented across the network. Several of the participating hospitals already submit their data to the Vermont Oxford Network (VON).

Expected Outcomes and Benefits

Participating in this project will help participating centers improve the care of the very preterm infant (29.6 weeks or less) at their site. If successful, this project will (in turn) result in an improved survival rate with decreased morbidities in very preterm infants born in Tennessee. This will lead to meeting the "2030 Healthy People" objective. Ultimately, improving outcomes in Tennessee's Tiniest Babies should lead to decreased long term costs to the healthcare system.

References

1. March of Dimes. (2022). Report card for Tennessee: Premature birth report card. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from https://www.marchofdimes.org/peristats/tools/reportcard.aspx?frmodrc=1*=47
2. Tennessee State Government - TN.gov. (n.d.). Infant mortality in Tennessee. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from <https://www.tn.gov/health/health-program-areas/tennessee-vital-signs/redirect-tennessee-vital-signs/vital-signs-actions/infant-mortality.html>
3. March of Dimes. (n.d.). Infant mortality rates: Tennessee. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from <https://www.marchofdimes.org/peristats/>
4. Healthy People 2030. (n.d.). Reduce the rate of infant deaths. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from <https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/infants/reduce-rate-infant-deaths-mich-02>
5. Gupta, R., & Froeb, K. (2020). Preterm birth. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 34(2), 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JPN.0000000000000469>
6. March of Dimes. (n.d.). Preterm birth: Tennessee, 2010–2020. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from <https://www.marchofdimes.org/peristats/data?reg=99&top=3&stop=60&lev=1&slev=4&obj=1&sreg=47>
7. MacDorman, M. F., Martin, J. A., Mathews, T. J., Hoyert, D. L., & Ventura, S. J. (2005). Explaining the 2001–02 infant mortality increase: Data from the linked birth/infant death data set. *National Vital Statistics Reports*, 53(12), 1–22. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/221863>

8. Callaghan, W. M., MacDorman, M. F., Rasmussen, S. A., Qin, C., & Lackritz, E. M. (2006). The contribution of preterm birth to infant mortality rates in the United States. *Pediatrics*, 118(4), 1566–1573. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-0860>
9. Horbar, J. D., Badger, G. J., Lewit, E. M., Rogowski, J., & Shiono, P. H. (1997). Hospital and patient characteristics associated with variation in 28-day mortality rates for very low birth weight infants: Vermont Oxford Network. *Pediatrics*, 99(2), 149–156. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.99.2.149>
10. Lee, S. K., McMillan, D. D., Ohlsson, A., et al. (2000). Variations in practice and outcomes in the Canadian NICU network: 1996–1997. *Pediatrics*, 106(5), 1070–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.106.5.1070>
11. Vohr, B. R., Wright, L. L., Dusick, A. M., et al. (2004). Neonatal Research Network: Center differences and outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics*, 113(4), 781–789. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.113.4.781>
12. Institute of Medicine - Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. (2001). *Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/10027>
13. Aziz, K., McMillan, D. D., Andrews, W., et al. (2005). Canadian Neonatal Network: Variations in rates of nosocomial infection among Canadian neonatal intensive care units may be practice-related. *BMC Pediatrics*, 5(1), 22–34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-5-22>
14. Bell, E. F., Hintz, S. R., Hansen, N. I., Bann, C. M., Wyckoff, M. H., DeMauro, S. B., Walsh, M. C., Vohr, B. R., Stoll, B. J., Carlo, W. A., Van Meurs, K. P., Rysavy, M. A., Patel, R. M., Merhar, S. L., Sánchez, P. J., Laptook, A. R., Hibbs, A. M., Cotten, C. M., D'Angio, C. T., Winter, S., et al. (2022). Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network: Mortality, in-hospital morbidity, care practices, and 2-year outcomes for extremely preterm infants in the US, 2013–2018. *JAMA*, 327(3), 248–263. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.23580>
15. Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2018). Care bundles to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 103(5), F422–F429. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2017-313362>
16. Lapcharoensap, W., Bennett, M. V., Powers, R. J., Finer, N. N., Halamek, L. P., Gould, J. B., Sharek, P. J., & Lee, H. C. (2017). Effects of delivery room quality improvement on premature infant outcomes. *Journal of Perinatology*, 37(4), 349–354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2016.237>

Aim Statement

The aim of the overarching TBB quality improvement (QI) project is:

- To reduce the mortality in infants less than or equal to 29.6 weeks gestational age by 25% of the Tennessee state baseline. There should be a dose response effect seen with the implementation of bundles which address broader global aims.

The aims of this HAI care bundle are:

- Primary aim: 25% relative reduction (compared to 2025 institutional baseline data) in blood stream infections including central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) in infants less than or equal to 29.6 weeks gestational age in participating TN NICUs by Q3 2027, final data by Q4 2027.
- Secondary aim: 10% relative reduction in Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) and urinary tract infections (UTI)
- Additional Secondary aim: 10% relative reduction in mortality of targeted infants by hospital discharge or 40 weeks corrected gestational age, whichever comes first (compared to 2021–2023 institutional baseline data) by Q3 2027, final data by Q4 2027.
 - Definitions for these HAIs can be found in the Measures section of this toolkit and in Appendix 1.

The proposed aims and the results of the past care bundles were:

- The TIPQC Severe IVH (sIVH) Reduction Project (2023–2024), involving 12 Level III/IV NICUs, targeted a 25% relative reduction in sIVH for infants ≤ 29.6 weeks gestational age. While the primary sIVH outcome did not show reduction (baseline 9.0% to project mean 10.7%, potentially influenced by improved survival), the project achieved high engagement (98% data completion, 91% staff education) and secondary improvements in mortality (baseline 13.8% to 11.2%). Rates continue to be tracked, and practices are now in sustainment across participating units.
- The TIPQC Chronic Lung Disease (CLD) Reduction Project (2024–2025), involving 10 Level III/IV NICUs, targeted a 25% relative reduction in CLD for infants < 30 weeks gestational age. Early results showed 60% of hospitals decreased overall CLD rates, with all hospitals reducing or maintaining severe (Grade 3) CLD and CLD-associated

mortality (to 0% project mean). Rates continue to be tracked, and practices are now in sustainment across participating units.

- The TIPQC Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) Reduction Project (2025-2026) is targeting a 25% relative reduction (over last 3 years institutional baseline) in *necrotizing enterocolitis* in infants less than or equal to 29.6 weeks gestational age in participating TN NICUs by Q2 2026, with final data expected by Q3 2026.

Summary of Evidence: *Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infections (HAI)*

Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), also known as nosocomial infections, are a major cause of morbidity, mortality, prolonged hospitalization, and increased healthcare costs in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). Premature and low-birth-weight infants are particularly vulnerable due to immature immune systems, fragile skin barriers, frequent invasive procedures (e.g., central lines, mechanical ventilation), and prolonged exposure to the hospital environment. Late-onset sepsis (LOS), defined as infection occurring after 72 hours of life, is predominantly hospital-acquired in NICU patients. The most common HAIs include central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), ventilator-associated pneumonia, and infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs). (See Appendix 1 for definitions.) CLABSI rates in NICUs vary widely, ranging from 3–22 per 1,000 central line days depending on unit practices and patient acuity, with higher rates in extremely low-birth-weight infants. Attributable mortality from CLABSI can reach 4–20%, and survivors often face neurodevelopmental impairments.

Significant variation exists across NICUs, with some achieving near-zero rates through sustained quality improvement efforts. According to Lapcharoensap et. al, Horbar et. al, and collaborative networks, such as the California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative (CPQCC) and Vermont Oxford Network (VON), have demonstrated that targeted interventions can reduce CLABSI and overall HAI rates by 50–80%. In Tennessee, prior TIPQC initiatives focused on CLABSI reduction showed sustained improvements, highlighting opportunities for further progress in broader HAI prevention.


Evidence-based strategies, often implemented as "bundles," have proven effective in reducing HAIs and have included:

- **A Culture of Safety** helps create a learning environment and mindset to promote zero-HAI goals as a team
- **Hand hygiene** remains the cornerstone, with compliance monitoring and feedback leading to substantial reductions in infection transmission
- **Environmental cleaning** (e.g., high-reliability practices, leadership rounds) support sustained zero-HAI goals.
- **Central line insertion, maintenance, and removal bundles** (including maximal sterile barriers, chlorhexidine/alcohol antiseptics, and daily necessity reviews) consistently lower CLABSI rates (<https://www.cdc.gov/infection-control/hcp/nicu-clabsi/recommendations.html>)
- **Skin care and antiseptics protocols** tailored to preterm infants minimize skin injury while preventing entry of pathogens
- **Respiratory infection prevention** with equipment and visitation approaches
- **Family engagement, including exclusive human milk feeding** and avoidance of unnecessary acid suppression reducing non-device-related bacteremia
- **Antibiotic stewardship programs** (including diagnostic stewardship, guideline-based pathways, and antibiotic time-outs) decreasing unnecessary antimicrobial exposure, lower MDRO emergence, and reduce overall HAI rates without increasing adverse outcomes

Multifaceted quality improvement approaches, combining these potentially better practices with multidisciplinary teams, audits, and data feedback, have achieved dramatic and sustainable reductions. Targeting zero HAIs is realistic in many NICUs and our hope is that the recommendations in this toolkit will lead to that reality. Ongoing challenges include emerging MDROs and resource constraints, but collaborative statewide efforts like this TIPQC collaborative can drive meaningful improvements in Tennessee NICUs.

References

- Horbar, J. D., Rogowski, J., Plsek, P. E., et al.; Collaborative quality improvement for neonatal intensive care. NIC/Q Project Investigators of the Vermont Oxford Network. (2001). Collaborative quality improvement for neonatal intensive care. *Pediatrics*, 107(1), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.107.1.14>
- Ista, E., van der Hoven, B., Kornelisse, R. F., et al. (2016). Effectiveness of insertion and maintenance bundles to prevent central-line-associated bloodstream infections in critically ill patients of all ages: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 16(6), 724–734. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(15\)00409-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00409-0)
- Lapcharoensap, W., Bennett, M. V., Powers, R. J., Finer, N. N., Halamek, L. P., Gould, J. B., Sharek, P. J., & Lee, H. C. (2017). Effects of delivery room quality improvement on premature infant outcomes. *Journal of Perinatology*, 37(4), 349–354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2016.237>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2018). Care bundles to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 103(5), F422–F429. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2017-313362>
- Schulman, J., Stricof, R., Stevens, T. P., et al.; New York State Regional Perinatal Care Centers. (2011). Statewide NICU central-line-associated bloodstream infection rates decline after bundles and checklists. *Pediatrics*, 127(3), 436–444. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-2873>



Potentially Better Practices for the Prevention of HAI

All improvement requires change. And while there are many kinds of changes that will lead to improvement, the specific changes are developed from a limited number of *change concepts*. As described in the Model for Improvement, “A change concept is a general notion or approach to change that has been found to be useful in developing specific ideas for changes that lead to improvement.” These change concepts are used to design and run tests of change (i.e., Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles) to see if they result in improvement.

A similar idea to change concepts are *Potentially Better Practices* (PBPs), which are a set of clinical practices that have the potential to improve the outcomes of care. They are labeled ‘potentially better’ rather than ‘better’ or ‘best’ because until the practices are evaluated, customized, and tested in your own institution, you will not know whether the practices are truly ‘better’ or ‘best’ (or ‘worse’). Depending on the circumstances in your facility, you may have to implement other practices or modify existing ones to successfully improve outcomes. The PBPs in this collection are not necessarily the only ones required to achieve the improved outcomes targeted. Thus, this list of PBPs is not exhaustive, exclusive, or all inclusive. Changes in practice, guided by these PBPs, will require testing and adaptation to your circumstances and context to achieve measured improvements in outcomes.

In designing this project and reviewing the evidence for practices that can reduce HAIs, TIPQC’s faculty have recommended that all participating NICUs implement all these PBPs at a minimum. The relative decrease in CLABSI rates when a bundle of PBPs has been adopted has been reported to be 58–75%. It is vitally important that each NICU forms a multi-disciplinary team who can effectively implement these PBPs and possibly identify others which may be ideal for your facility and situation.

References

- Fisher, D., Cochran, K. M., Provost, L. P., et al. (2013). Reducing central line-associated bloodstream infections in North Carolina NICUs. *Pediatrics*, 132(6), e1664–e1671. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2000>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2018). Care bundles to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 103(5), F422–F429. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2017-313362>

Foster a Culture of Safety and Target Zero Hospital-Acquired Infections

Every NICU should foster a culture of safety and high reliability as a foundational element for targeting zero hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), using a multidisciplinary approach to prevention.

Adopting a goal of zero harm shifts the mindset from accepting HAIs as inevitable to recognizing them as preventable events. This expectation reinforces accountability and motivates staff to identify and mitigate risks at every opportunity.

A strong safety culture creates a learning environment where staff feel empowered to speak up, provide feedback, and raise concerns in real-time, regardless of role or discipline, without fear of blame. Emphasizing system improvements over individual fault (e.g., Just Culture principles) encourages reporting of near-misses and errors through occurrence reporting systems, enabling standardized learning and enhanced compliance.

Standardizing processes reduces human factors’ variability and supports consistent performance of evidence-based practices. Multidisciplinary teams, leadership engagement (e.g., executive walk rounds), and tools like the Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP) promote high-reliability principles, teamwork, and sustained improvements.

- Form a multidisciplinary HAI prevention team including physicians, nurses, infection preventionists, pharmacists, respiratory therapists, and family representatives.
- Display and celebrate "days since last HAI" to reinforce the zero goal.
- Conduct regular safety huddles and debriefs after infections or near-misses.
- Implement leadership rounds to discuss barriers and recognize safe behaviors.

- When events happen, focus on system fixes rather than individual accountability.

References

- Lin, D. M., Weeks, K., Holzmüller, C. G., Pronovost, P. J., & Pham, J. C. (2013). Maintaining and sustaining the on the CUSP: Stop BSI model in Hawaii. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 39(2), 51–60. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23427476>
- Mobley, R. E., & Bizzarro, M. J. (2017). Central line-associated bloodstream infections in the NICU: Successes and controversies in the quest for zero. *Seminars in Perinatology*, 41(3), 166–174. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.03.006>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Profit, J., Sharek, P. J., Cui, X., et al. (2020). The correlation between neonatal intensive care unit safety culture and quality of care. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 16(4), e310–e316. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000546>

Establish Hand Hygiene Standards and Compliance Monitoring

Hand hygiene is the single most effective strategy for reducing hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) in the NICU. Multimodal interventions that improve hand hygiene compliance have led to significant reductions in nosocomial infections, including bloodstream infections.

Alcohol-based hand rubs are preferred for routine hand hygiene when hands are not visibly soiled, as they are more effective at removing transient flora and are less irritating to skin than soap and water.

A standard hand hygiene process should include the following:

- All healthcare workers and visitors should perform hand hygiene using the World Health Organization's "My 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene," adapted for the NICU environment.
- Upon unit entry, perform a full hand wash with soap and water (including under nails and up to elbows) or use alcohol-based hand rub if hands are not soiled.
- Use soap and water (15–20 seconds) when hands are visibly dirty, after restroom use, or during outbreaks involving *Clostridioides difficile* or norovirus.
- Perform hand hygiene:
 - Before entering the patient's space and touching the patient.
 - Before donning sterile gloves.
 - Before inserting invasive devices that do not require a surgical procedure such as indwelling urinary catheters, peripheral intravenous catheters, and lab draws.
 - Before an aseptic task or handling invasive devices.
 - Before moving from work on a soiled body site to a clean body site on the same patient.
 - After body fluid exposure risk or contact with blood, body fluids, or contaminated surfaces.
 - After touching a patient or the patient's immediate environment.
 - Immediately after glove removal.
 - When hands become soiled (e.g., after sneezing, coughing, or blowing your nose).
- All healthcare workers and visitors should be bare below the elbows (no jewelry, including wedding bands; no watches; short sleeves or sleeves rolled up).
- Natural nails should be kept short (less than ¼ inch long).
- Chipped nail polish is not permitted; if polish is allowed per hospital policy, it must be fresh and intact.
- Artificial nails or enhancements are prohibited for direct caregivers, as the subungual area harbors pathogens that cannot be adequately decontaminated.

- Gloves should be worn for anticipated contact with blood, body fluids, or non-intact skin.
- **Routine universal gloves are not recommended for most patients in the NICU**, as evidence is unresolved for reducing infections and it may lead to reduced hand hygiene compliance. It is, however, not unreasonable to consider in infants <1000g during the first 7 days of life. Change gloves between patients or tasks on the same patient.

Compliance monitoring through direct observation (including secret shoppers) captures opportunities by moment and role, with regular feedback to improve performance. Other hospitals may have automated Hand Hygiene monitoring systems.

- Place alcohol-based hand rub dispensers at point of care and unit entry.
- Conduct regular audits and share results with staff.
- Educate families on hand hygiene upon entry.
- Integrate reminders and targets into daily huddles.

References

- Boyce, J. M., & Pittet, D.; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee; HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. (2002). Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 51(RR-16), 1–45. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12418624>
- Johnson, J., Akinboyo, I. C., & Schaffzin, J. K. (2021). Infection prevention in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 48(2), 413–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2021.03.011>
- Larson, E. L.; APIC Guidelines Committee. (1995). APIC guideline for handwashing and hand antisepsis in health care settings. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 23(4), 251–269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553\(95\)90070-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553(95)90070-5)
- McGuckin, M., Waterman, R., & Govednik, J. (2009). Hand hygiene compliance rates in the United States—a one-year multicenter collaboration using product/volume usage measurement and feedback. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, 24(3), 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1062860609332369>
- Moolenaar, R. L., Crutcher, J. M., San Joaquin, V. H., et al. (2000). A prolonged outbreak of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a neonatal intensive care unit: Did staff fingernails play a role in disease transmission? *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 21(2), 80–85. <https://doi.org/10.1086/501739>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Ramasethu, J. (2017). Prevention and treatment of neonatal nosocomial infections. *Maternal Health, Neonatology and Perinatology*, 3, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40748-017-0043-3>

Maintain a Clean and Sanitary Environment

Environmental contamination plays a significant role in the transmission of pathogens in the NICU, contributing to hospital-acquired infections. Regular cleaning of high-touch surfaces and equipment reduces microbial load and cross-contamination risks.

All patient care areas, equipment, and bed spaces should be thoroughly cleaned between patients using hospital-approved disinfectants effective against common NICU pathogens.

High-touch surfaces should be cleaned at least at the beginning of each shift and as needed. These include isolette handles, monitor controls, bedside carts, IV pumps, keyboards, door handles, and light switches. Consistent cleaning removes accumulated pathogens and minimizes transmission before new care activities begin.

Additional strategies to support a sanitary environment include the following:

- Use hospital-approved detergent/disinfectant solutions for routine equipment cleaning.
- Clean items contaminated with blood or body fluids immediately with an appropriate disinfectant.

- Cohort patients with MRSA (or those expected to remain on isolation/transmission-based precautions throughout hospitalization, in consultation with the Infection Prevention team) to reduce spread.
- When pairing isolated patients with non-isolated infants is unavoidable, prioritize pairing with non-surgical infants >1500 grams birth weight and with the fewest invasive devices.
- Increase frequency of surface cleaning in bedside areas for isolated patients.
- Use negative pressure rooms when appropriate for airborne precautions.
- Store extra linen in closed cabinets or covered areas.
- Prohibit stuffed animals at the bedside due to difficulty in cleaning.
- Place a barrier (such as a blanket or cover gown) between staff/parent clothing and the infant during holding.
- Encourage regular cleaning of badges, keyboards, and personal devices: staff and parents should use germicidal wipes or UV devices to disinfect cell phones and other electronics before and after NICU entry/use, followed by hand hygiene before infant contact.
- Develop unit-specific cleaning checklists and assign accountability (e.g., shift-based responsibilities).
- Audit environmental cleaning compliance regularly with feedback.
- Educate staff and families on environmental hygiene roles.

Products to consider:

- Hospital-approved germicidal wipes safe for electronics (e.g., those without bleach or high alcohol content that could damage screens).
- UV-C mobile device sanitizers (e.g., CleanSlate UV for multi-device rapid cycles; PhoneSoap Med+/ExpressPro for healthcare settings).

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). *Recommendations for the prevention and control of infections in neonatal intensive care unit patients: Environmental factors*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/infection-control/hcp/nicu-clabsi/index.html>
- Guzman-Cottrill, J. A., & Bryant, K. A. (2025). Keeping your neonatal intensive care unit clean: The hospital environment as a potential source of health care-associated infections. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 52(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2024.10.001>
- Milstone, A. M., Song, X., Coffin, S., et al. (2010). Identification and eradication of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in the neonatal intensive care unit: Results of a national survey. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 31(7), 766–768. <https://doi.org/10.1086/653615r>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf

Standardize Central Line, Urinary Catheter, and Nasogastric/Orogastric Tube Placement, Maintenance, and Removal; Provide Cautionary Steps for Eye Care

Central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) remain a leading cause of hospital-acquired infections in the NICU, with increased risk from prolonged catheter dwell time and non-standardized care. Urinary tract infections from indwelling catheters are less common but preventable through rigorous technique. Conjunctival colonization and infection can also occur in vulnerable preterm infants. Nasogastric/orogastric (NG/OG) feeding tubes, while essential for enteral nutrition, can become colonized with pathogens and contribute to non-device-related bacteremia if not managed properly.

Standardizing insertion, maintenance, and prompt removal practices using evidence-based bundles significantly reduces infection rates. Daily review of necessity and early enteral feeding advancement facilitate timely removal.

Central Line Practices

- Use maximum sterile barriers during insertion of umbilical and central venous lines (sterile gown, gloves, full drape, mask, and hat for all personnel).
- Restrain long hair to avoid contact with sterile fields or infants.
- Limit insertion and maintenance to trained healthcare workers educated in CLABSI prevention.
- Apply sterile occlusive dressings to PICC and tunneled catheter sites.
- Secure catheters to prevent migration, dislodgement, or pistoning, which can introduce pathogens. Consider use of cyanoacrylate glue at the insertion site in addition to engineered securement devices for enhanced fixation.
- For skin antisepsis in infants >28 weeks gestational age, use chlorhexidine-based solutions (e.g., Chloraprep) unless contraindicated; alternatives include povidone-iodine or 70% alcohol for infants <28 weeks.
- Minimize system manipulations and accesses.
- Scrub hubs/ports with alcohol for 15–30 seconds (or per unit policy for shorter effective swipes) before access.
- Use single-use alcohol port protectors (e.g., alcohol port protector) on all injection ports.
- Change tubing at least every 96 hours (every 24 hours for lipids or intermittent infusions disconnected between uses); change all tubing with new insertions.
- Document maintenance using standardized bundle checklists.
- Review line necessity daily during rounds, document indication daily, and remove promptly when no longer required.
- Recommended dwell times for UVC's is 7-10 days, UAC's 5-7 days. If long term access is needed, consider early umbilical catheter removal at 4 days and PICC line placement.

Urinary Catheter Practices

- Perform insertion as a two-person sterile procedure with the inserter wearing sterile gloves.
- Utilize dedicated catheter insertion kits.
- Document maintenance using bundle checklists.
- Remove catheters as soon as clinically possible.

Eye Care Practices

- Monitor eyes routinely for signs of infection or abrasion.
- Clean exudate from inner to outer canthus using single-use saline-soaked cotton balls.
- Apply synthetic tears or lubricating ointment every 4–6 hours (or as needed) in infants with reduced eyelid function (e.g., extreme preterm, sedated, or paralyzed).
- Audit bundle compliance regularly with feedback to staff.

Nasogastric/Orogastric Tube Practices

- Secure tubes properly to prevent displacement.
- Verify placement before each use (inspection of gastric contents; auscultation with 1ml of insufflated air; radiographic confirmation if needed).

- While the optimal replacement schedule is not known at this time, we advise that routine scheduled replacement should be considered at 7 days due to concern for the contribution to an enteral infection.
 - Replace tubes when clinically indicated (e.g., clogged, dislodged, or soiled).
- Use aseptic technique for handling and administration of feeds to minimize contamination.
- Audit bundle compliance regularly with feedback to staff.

Products to consider:

- Chlorhexidine-based antiseptics (e.g., ChlorPrep).
- Alcohol port protectors (e.g., Curo caps).
- Engineered catheter securement devices (e.g., StatLock).
- Cyanoacrylate glue for insertion site securement (e.g., SecurAcath or similar tissue adhesives recommended for reducing dislodgement).
- Synthetic tear ointments or drops.

References

- Bowen, J. R., Callander, I., Richards, R., & Lindrea, K. B.; Sepsis Prevention in NICUs Group. (2017). Decreasing infection in neonatal intensive care units through quality improvement. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 102(1), F51–F57. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2015-310165>
- Corso, L., Buttera, M., Candia, F., Sforza, F., Rossi, K., Lugli, L., Miselli, F., Bedetti, L., Baraldi, C., Lucaccioni, L., Iughetti, L., & Berardi, A. (2022). Infectious risks related to umbilical venous catheter dwell time and its replacement in newborns: A narrative review of current evidence. *Life*, 13(1), 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life13010123>
- D'Andrea, V., Prontera, G., Pinna, G., et al. (2023). Securement of umbilical venous catheter using cyanoacrylate glue: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 260, 113517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2023.113517>
- Gorski, L. A., Hadaway, L., Hagle, M. E., et al. (2021). Infusion therapy standards of practice, 8th edition. *Journal of Infusion Nursing*, 44(1S Suppl 1), S1–S224. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000396>
- Johnson, J., Akinboyo, I. C., & Schaffzin, J. K. (2021). Infection prevention in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 48(2), 413–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2021.03.010>
- Milstone, A. M., Reich, N. G., Advani, S., et al. (2013). Catheter dwell time and CLABSI in neonates with PICCs: A multicenter cohort study. *Pediatrics*, 132(6), e1609–e1615. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-1645>
- O'Grady, N. P., Alexander, M., Burns, L. A., et al.; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). (2011). Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 52(9), e162–e193. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir257>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Petersen, S. M., Greisen, G., & Krogfelt, K. A. (2016). Nasogastric feeding tubes from a neonatal department yield high concentrations of potentially pathogenic bacteria—even 1 day after insertion. *Pediatric Research*, 80(3), 395–400. <https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2016.86>

Protect Fragile Skin with Disinfection, Cleansing, Dressing Securement, and Injury Prevention Measures

Preterm neonatal skin is immature, fragile, and highly permeable, increasing risks of injury, infection entry, and hospital-acquired pressure injuries. Standardized skin care, careful antisepsis, securement, and preventive measures preserve barrier function and reduce medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARS) and pressure damage.

Perform and document a thorough head-to-toe skin integrity assessment each shift and with each cluster care time.

Identify risk factors for skin breakdown, including gestational age ≤ 25 weeks (where skin is extremely thin and gelatinous; consider specialized protocols like burn sheets or humidified incubators).

Key Skin Care Practices

- Assess skin around IV sites (including central lines) and document hourly.
- Disinfect skin before central line insertion: use chlorhexidine-based solutions if >28 weeks gestational age unless contraindicated; alternatives include povidone-iodine or 70% alcohol for infants <28 weeks.
- Bathe stable patients every 3–4 days with mild soap and water or bath wipes.
- Consider chlorhexidine-impregnated wipes for targeted cleansing in patients with central lines, MRSA/MSSA colonization, or before surgery (use with caution per product labeling for infants <2 months; follow manufacturer directions).
- Have caregivers cleanse their hands/arms with hospital-approved wipes before skin-to-skin holding to reduce pathogen transfer.
- Utilize preventive measures to minimize MARSIs and pressure injuries: apply skin barrier films, use silicone-based adhesives when possible, rotate device sites (e.g., saturation probes, temperature probes, CPAP interfaces), ensure proper fit of CPAP hats/prongs/masks, and use gel mattresses or hydrocolloid dressings (e.g., Duoderm) under pressure points.
- Change patient position every 3–6 hours based on condition and tolerance.
- Change diapers during hands-on care and position changes.
- Develop unit-specific skin risk assessment tools and audit compliance.
- Consult dermatology or wound specialists for rashes or complex injuries.

MRSA/MSSA Screening and Management

- Routine universal active surveillance screening for MRSA colonization is not recommended in the absence of ongoing transmission or outbreaks.
- Consider active surveillance testing (e.g., nasal swabs) when there is evidence of increased MRSA incidence, ongoing healthcare-associated transmission, or during outbreaks, in consultation with the Infection Prevention team.
- Factors influencing implementation include unit structure (e.g., open pods vs. individual rooms), baseline MRSA rates, and cost considerations.
- Identified colonized infants should prompt contact precautions, cohorting where feasible, and potential targeted decolonization per unit policy.

Products to consider:

- Chlorhexidine-based antiseptics (e.g., Chloraprep).
- Silver-based or chlorhexidine-impregnated wipes (for targeted use).
- Hydrocolloid dressings (e.g., Duoderm).
- Skin barrier films or sprays.

References

- Akinboyo, I. C., Zangwill, K. M., Berg, W. M., Cantey, J. B., Huizinga, B., & Milstone, A. M. (2020). SHEA neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) white paper series: Practical approaches to *Staphylococcus aureus* disease prevention. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 41(11), 1251–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.51>
- August, D. L., Kandasamy, Y., Ray, R., Lindsay, D., & New, K. (2021). Fresh perspectives on hospital-acquired neonatal skin injury period prevalence from a multicenter study: Length of stay, acuity, and incomplete course of antenatal steroids. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 35(3), 275–283. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JPN.0000000000000513>

- Chapman, A. K., Aucott, S. W., Gilmore, M. M., Advani, S., Clarke, W., & Milstone, A. M. (2013). Absorption and tolerability of aqueous chlorhexidine gluconate used for skin antisepsis prior to catheter insertion in preterm neonates. *Journal of Perinatology*, 33(10), 768–771. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2013.61>
- Johnson, J., Akinboyo, I. C., & Schaffzin, J. K. (2021). Infection prevention in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 48(2), 413–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2021.03.011>
- Kar, S., Jarain, V. Z. L., Karmakar, S., et al. (2024). Quality improvement initiative to reduce medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARSI) in very preterm babies admitted to neonatal intensive care unit. *BMJ Open Quality*, 13(Suppl 1), e002697. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjog-2023-002697>
- Milstone, A. M., Elward, A., Brady, M. T., et al. (2020). *Recommendations for prevention and control of infections in neonatal intensive care unit patients: Staphylococcus aureus*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/infection-control/media/pdfs/Guideline-NICU-Saureus-H.pdf>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf

Defend Against Respiratory Infections with Ventilator Maintenance and Visitation Guidelines

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) and other respiratory infections are significant risks in mechanically ventilated neonates due to immature immunity, endotracheal tubes bypassing natural defenses, and exposure to pathogens. Standardized equipment maintenance, oral care, and visitor screening can reduce microbial colonization and transmission.

Ventilator and Suction Equipment Maintenance

- Change ventilator tubing on a regular basis per manufacturer and unit guidelines.
- Change inline suction catheters (e.g., multi-access catheter) every other day for ventilated patients.
- Change all suction canisters and connecting tubing when grossly soiled or at least weekly; date and time when placed in service.
- Change nasal aspirators every 24 hours or as needed if unable to clear secretions.
- Clear suction lines with sterile water or saline after each suctioning event.
- Change bulb syringes regularly per unit policy.

Oral Care and Immune Therapy

- Provide routine oral care to intubated infants to maintain a clean mouth and reduce bacterial load.
- Consider oropharyngeal administration of mother's own colostrum (oral immune therapy) as early as possible, which has been associated with reduced incidence of VAP.

Visitation Guidelines

- Assess all visitors for signs of communicable disease upon entry (e.g., self-reported questionnaire or screening for fever, cough, sore throat, runny nose, vomiting/diarrhea, rash, or known exposure to chickenpox, measles, lice, or bed bugs).
- Communicate guidelines clearly through orientation materials, signage, and education for parents and visitors.
- During periods of high community respiratory virus circulation (e.g., flu, RSV, COVID-19), in collaboration with the Infection Prevention team:
 - Require masking for all staff.
 - Strongly recommend (but not mandate) masking for visitors, especially parents.
- Restrict visitors with active respiratory symptoms until resolved.
- Incorporate maintenance checks into daily workflows and audit compliance.

- Educate staff on early extubation readiness to minimize ventilation duration.

References

- Azab, S. F., Sherbiny, H. S., Saleh, S. H., et al. (2015). Reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonatal intensive care unit using "VAP prevention bundle": A cohort study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 15, 314. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1062-1>
- Cernada, M., Brugada, M., Golombek, S., & Vento, M. (2014). Ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonatal patients: An update. *Neonatology*, 105(2), 98–107. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000355539>
- Jacobs Pepin, B., Lesslie, D., Berg, W., Spaulding, A. B., & Pokora, T. (2019). ZAP-VAP: A quality improvement initiative to decrease ventilator-associated pneumonia in the neonatal intensive care unit, 2012–2016. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 19(4), 253–261. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000000635>
- Klompas, M., Branson, R., Cawcutt, K., et al. (2022). Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia, ventilator-associated events, and nonventilator hospital-acquired pneumonia in acute-care hospitals: 2022 update. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43(6), 687–713. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2022.88>
- Ma, A., Yang, J., Li, Y., Zhang, X., & Kang, Y. (2021). Oropharyngeal colostrum therapy reduces the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in very low birth weight infants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatric Research*, 89(1), 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-020-0854-1>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf

Engage Parents and Families in Infection Prevention

While parent engagement is critical, encourage healthy non-ill family members to be involved in care.

Parents play a crucial role in preventing infections in the NICU by actively participating in their infant's care through infant- and family-centered developmental care (IFCDC). This approach emphasizes continuous parental presence, skin-to-skin contact (SSC), and breastfeeding, which collectively reduce the risk of late-onset sepsis and hospital-acquired infections.

SSC, often integrated into kangaroo mother care (KMC), allows parents to hold their infant against their cleansed skin, promoting the development of a diverse skin microbiome that mirrors the family's rather than the hospital environment's potentially harmful bacteria.

Breastfeeding, particularly with fresh, unpasteurized mother's own milk, provides essential probiotics, bioactive proteins, oligosaccharides, and immunoglobulins like secretory IgA and IgM, which bolster the infant's immune system and gut microbiome and offers protection against infections.

Additionally, oropharyngeal administration of colostrum (oral immune therapy) can further enhance immune defenses and reduce risks such as neonatal sepsis and necrotizing enterocolitis.

By engaging in care activities, parents can also improve overall safety and quality in the NICU, potentially leading to fewer invasive procedures like catheter placements or parenteral nutrition, which are risk factors for infections.

Continuous parental involvement, such as attending rounds and advocating for their infant, encourages staff to prioritize non-invasive practices and minimize unnecessary interventions.

Moreover, facilitating early discharge with adequate follow-up support allows parents to transition care responsibilities sooner. This will reduce prolonged exposure to the hospital environment and its associated infection risks.

Each NICU should evaluate the parental role and highlight the importance of empowering parents as key partners in infection prevention strategies.

- Support unlimited parental presence and participation in care when the parent is healthy.

- Educate parents on hand hygiene, skin cleansing before SSC, and signs of illness that preclude visits.
- Promote early and frequent SSC with proper barriers and hygiene.
- Provide lactation support for expression and provision of mother's own milk.
- Facilitate oropharyngeal colostrum administration when direct feeding is not possible.

References

- Boundy, E. O., Dastjerdi, R., Spiegelman, D., et al. (2016). Kangaroo mother care and neonatal outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, 137(1), e20152238. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-2238>
- Ommert, I., Mägi, C. A., Lilliesköld, S., Blomqvist, Y. T., Axelin, A., & Linnér, A. (2025). The role of parents to prevent infections in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, 30(4), 101669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2025.101669>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf

Use Antibiotics Appropriately

Antibiotic overuse in the NICU contributes to multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs), gut dysbiosis, increased risk of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), and other adverse outcomes like prolonged hospitalization. Multidisciplinary stewardship programs reduce unnecessary exposure through standardized pathways, diagnostic stewardship (e.g., optimal culture volumes, biomarker use), metrics like antibiotic use rate (AUR) and days of therapy (DOT), and regular audits with feedback. These programs have safely lowered antibiotic use by 20–50% in NICUs without increasing sepsis-related morbidity or mortality.

TIPQC recommends that each NICU:

- Form a stewardship team including neonatologists, nurse practitioners, pharmacists, infection preventionists, nurses, respiratory therapists, and parents.
- Develop unit-specific pathways for early-onset sepsis (EOS), late-onset sepsis (LOS), and NEC based on local antibiograms and pathogen surveillance using the recommendations below.
- Monitor AUR/DOT monthly and target reductions through education, automatic antibiotic time-outs at 36 or 48 hours, and de-escalation protocols.
- Incorporate biomarkers (e.g., C-reactive protein, procalcitonin) for guided discontinuation in culture-negative cases. Please review our recommendations concerning how to effectively use these biomarkers below.

Early Onset Sepsis (EOS) Evaluation and Management

EOS is not a focus in this project, nor is it a measure that the project is collecting but given the negative effects from the overuse of antibiotics, the following information is provided for clarity and guidance.

EOS, typically occurring within 72 hours of birth, is often due to maternal colonization or intrapartum factors. Risk stratification minimizes unnecessary antibiotics in low-risk preterm and term infants, supporting stewardship goals. Although the aim of this tool kit is geared towards infants <30 weeks gestation, antibiotic stewardship can be of benefit to all infants in the NICU. Below are guidelines for empiric antibiotic use for all gestations.

For infants ≤34 6/7 weeks gestational age:

- Lowest risk (e.g., maternal preeclampsia, placental insufficiency, cesarean delivery without labor): No routine laboratory evaluation or empiric antibiotics; perform blood culture and clinical monitoring if indicated.

- Highest risk (e.g., cervical incompetence, preterm labor, PROM >18 hours, intrauterine inflammation/infection, acute non-reassuring fetal status): Obtain CBC, blood culture (≥ 1 mL), and consider CSF if neurologic symptoms; initiate empiric antibiotics (ampicillin + gentamicin) promptly.

For infants ≥ 35 weeks gestational age:

- Use a sepsis calculator (e.g., Kaiser Neonatal Sepsis Calculator) to estimate risk.
- Clinically ill infants (those exhibiting signs consistent with Multisystem Organ Failure or those with Triple I): Obtain CBC, blood culture, and CSF if clinically indicated; start empiric antibiotics within 1 hour of being ordered.
- Maternal GBS colonization with inadequate IAP but no additional risks: Observe in hospital for 48 hours; no labs or antibiotics unless symptomatic.

C-reactive protein and procalcitonin are not recommended for routine EOS evaluation due to low specificity from perinatal confounders and leading to the potential for overtreatment. It is best to rely on risk stratification (e.g., calculators) and cultures for most EOS evaluations. The use of serial biomarkers could be used to effectively rule out and discontinue empiric therapy after 48 hours.

Empiric regimen: Ampicillin and Gentamicin remain the gold standard for early onset sepsis. Dosing and frequency are based on the most recent recommendations for use. For non-CNS involvement Ampicillin dosing for infants <34 weeks is 50 mg/kg/dose Q12h, infants 34 weeks and greater the dosing recommendation is 50 mg/kg/dose Q8h. For concerns of CNS involvement, Ampicillin should be increased to 100 mg/kg Q8h for all gestations. Gentamicin dosing for infants 29 weeks and less is 5 mg/kg/dose Q48h, infants 30–34 weeks is 4.5 mg/kg/dose Q36h, and infants 35 weeks and greater is 4 mg/kg/dose Q24h. Recommend customizing if maternal history suggests alternative coverage (e.g., recent urine culture).


Discontinue antibiotics at 36–48 hours if cultures are negative the infant is stable.

There is growing evidence supporting discontinuation of empirical antibiotics at **24 hours** in select low-risk scenarios. This approach is based on data showing that the vast majority (>95–99%) of true pathogenic bacterial growth in EOS blood cultures is detected within 24 hours, with very few additional positives between 24 and 48 hours. Antibiotics could be stopped at 24 hours if:

- The infant is **clinically well** (no signs of respiratory distress, temperature instability, hypotension, or other sepsis indicators).
- Blood culture shows **no growth** at 24 hours.
- **Low pretest probability** of EOS, quantified using a validated tool such as the Kaiser Permanente Neonatal EOS Calculator (risk <1–3 per 1,000 live births, depending on institutional threshold).
- Adequate intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) if maternal GBS-positive or other indications.
- No concerning maternal history (e.g., untreated chorioamnionitis) or abnormal ancillary labs (e.g., significant leukopenia, thrombocytopenia).
- This is primarily indicated in **term or late-preterm infants** (>34–35 weeks gestation); in very preterm or extremely low birth weight infants, caution is advised due to higher baseline risks and potential for atypical presentations.

References

- Achten, N. B., Klingenberg, C., Benitz, W. E., et al. (2019). Association of use of the neonatal early-onset sepsis calculator with reduction in antibiotic therapy and safety: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(11), 1032–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.2825>
- Klingenberg, C., Kornelisse, R. F., Buonocore, G., et al. (2021). C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, and white blood count to rule out neonatal early-onset sepsis within 36 hours: A secondary analysis of the Neonatal Procalcitonin Intervention Study (NeoPinS). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 73(2), e383–e390. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa876>
- Kuzniewicz, M. W., Puopolo, K. M., Fischer, A., et al. (2017). A quantitative, risk-based approach to the management of neonatal early-onset sepsis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 171(4), 365–371. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.4678>
- Le, J., Greenberg, R. G., Yoo, Y., Clark, R. H., Benjamin, D. K., Zimmerman, K. O., Cohen-Wolkowicz, M., & Wade, K. C. (2022). Ampicillin dosing in premature infants for early-onset sepsis: Exposure-driven efficacy, safety, and stewardship. *Journal of Perinatology*, 42(7), 959–964. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-022-01344-2>
- Mukhopadhyay, S., Sengupta, S., & Puopolo, K. M. (2019). Challenges and opportunities for antibiotic stewardship among preterm infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 104(3), F327–F332. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2018-315412>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Puopolo, K. M., Lynfield, R., & Cummings, J. J.; American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Fetus and Newborn, Committee on Infectious Diseases. (2019). Management of infants at risk for Group B streptococcal disease. *Pediatrics*, 144(2), e20191881. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1881>
- Sánchez, P. J., Prusakov, P., de Alba Romero, C., et al. (2023). Short-course empiric antibiotic therapy for possible early-onset sepsis in the NICU. *Journal of Perinatology*, 43(6), 741–745. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-023-01634-3>



Late Onset Sepsis (LOS) Evaluation and Management

LOS, defined as infection after 72 hours of age, is predominantly hospital-acquired in preterm infants, with incidence peaking in the first weeks.

Clinical signs include temperature instability, lethargy, irritability, tachypnea, tachycardia, increased apnea/bradycardia, increased oxygen requirement, increased work of breathing, hypotonia, hyperglycemia, acidosis, vomiting, feeding intolerance, hypotension, abdominal distension, and bloody stools.

Diagnostic approach: Obtain cultures before antibiotics (blood ≥ 1 mL, urine via catheter/suprapubic aspiration (SPA), CSF if neurologic symptoms or severe apnea, tracheal aspirate if recently intubated, radiograph if respiratory/GI signs). Include CBC/differential and inflammatory markers (c-reactive protein or procalcitonin). Stopczynski et al. (2026) found in a recently published prospective cohort study of VLBW infants undergoing sepsis evaluations that using CRP values was the stronger predictor of sepsis when compared to the use of procalcitonin levels. Always integrate with clinical

assessment and local guidelines for optimal use. If there is any concern that the pathogen may be HSV, then HSV cultures and PCRs should also be obtained.

For urine culture: Urine sample should be obtained by catheterization before the initiation of antibiotics. Gold standard is a single organism $\geq 50,000$ CFU/mL via SPA/catheter; consider $\geq 10,000$ CFU/mL with clinical indicators.

Criteria for treatment:

a. $\geq 50,000$ CFU/mL (either I&O [In and Out] catheterization or SBA), with or without pyuria (≥ 5 WBC/HPF [centrifuged urine] or ≥ 10 WBC/mm³ [unspun])

b. Lower colony count ($\geq 10,000$) may be treated if associated with pyuria

c. Polymicrobial urine culture: consider as contaminant unless repeated and shows significant colony count of single organism as indicated above

Empiric antibiotics: Reevaluate routine broad use (e.g., vancomycin for MRSA/central lines, gentamicin/cephalosporin for gram-negatives) based on local antibiograms (MRSA often $<10\%$ in LOS); consider narrower agents like oxacillin/nafticillin for gram-positives (e.g., CoNS). Omit ampicillin unless specific risks. Add acyclovir if HSV is a concern. Remind staff that antibiotics should be given within one hour of being ordered.

Continued evaluation: Repeat CBC/PCT or CRP at 12–24 hours, radiograph as needed; consider viral etiologies.

Discontinue antibiotics if well-appearing, symptoms resolved, cultures negative at 36 hours, and normal/improving markers.

For culture-negative sepsis with persistent symptoms: 5–7 days of treatment (longer for PMA <25 weeks) but shorten with normalizing PCT or CRP.

For positive cultures: Consult ID, obtain LP if not done, repeat blood culture at 48 hours, continue 10–21 days based on organism/susceptibility (e.g., 10 days gram-positive, longer for gram-negative/meningitis), document CSF sterility; days of treatment are counted starting with the first negative culture.

Fungal prophylaxis: Each participating NICU should review their internal data and current criteria for fluconazole prophylaxis as a subset of infants covered by this toolkit are high risk for invasive fungal disease.

References

- Brown, J. V. E., Meader, N., Wright, K., Cleminson, J., & McGuire, W. (2020). Assessment of C-reactive protein diagnostic test accuracy for late-onset infection in newborn infants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 174(3), 260–268. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.5669>
- Chow, S. J., Ojha, R., Ognean, A. M., Duke, C. W., & Carter, J. E. (2022). Reducing early antibiotic use: A quality improvement initiative in a level III neonatal intensive care unit. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 10, 913175. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.913175>
- Dutta, S., Grella, M., Aspbury, M., Hsu, K. K., Kang, P. B., Yeh, H., & Bender, J. M. (2022). Reducing antibiotic use in a level III and two level II neonatal intensive care units using a stewardship program followed by a ventilator-associated pneumonia algorithm. *Pediatric Quality & Safety*, 7(3), e563. <https://doi.org/10.1097/pq9.0000000000000555>
- Gareau-Terrell, J., & Branham, S. (2020). Can procalcitonin improve antibiotic stewardship for late-onset sepsis evaluations in neonates? *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 20(6), 473–478. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000000761>

- Lamba, V., D'souza, S., Carafa, C., Zepf, A., Bassel, C. L., Gutierrez, M., & Balakrishnan, M. (2020). Standardizing the approach to late onset sepsis in neonates through antimicrobial stewardship: A quality improvement initiative. *Journal of Perinatology*. Published online January 6, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-019-0577-5>
- Myrsini, K., Xanthou, M., Vlachou, E., Gounaris, A., & Sokou, R. (2024). Sustaining the continued effectiveness of an antimicrobial stewardship program in preterm infants. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 13(3), 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed9030059>
- Magers, J., Burton, A., & Prusakov, P., et al. (2026). Urinary tract infection in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Perinatology*, 44:754-760.
- Stopczynski, T., Eschborn, S., Dobson, D.C. et al. (2026). Comparing procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels in very low birth weight infants with sepsis. *Pediatric Research*, doi: 10.1038/s41390-026-04867-y. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 41807697.

Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) Management

Bacteria play a role in NEC pathogenesis, with concurrent bacteremia in many cases. Empiric antibiotics are broad, covering LOS pathogens plus anaerobes for advanced disease.

Standardize via algorithms correlated to Bell's stages or variations to reduce variation, NPO time, and antibiotic exposure without increasing morbidity. An example of the algorithm in use at Le Bonheur Children's Medical Center has been provided.

Tailor based on unit antibiogram; consider monotherapy like piperacillin-tazobactam for ≥IIA in some centers but prefer narrower regimens to minimize resistance.

Fungal prophylaxis should be added for prolonged exposure.

Stage	Classification	Systemic signs	Intestinal signs	Radiographic signs	NPO duration	Antibiotic	Feeds
IA	Suspected NEC	Perinatal stress, Temp instability, Apnea, bradycardia, lethargy	Abdominal distention, emesis, blood in stool	Normal or intestinal dilation, Mild ileus	24 to 48 hrs	48- 72 hrs Broad spectrum abx	Resume feeds at 50% volume and advance by 25% every 3 rd feed to goal volume.
IB	Suspected NEC	Same as above	Blood in stool	Same as above	48 hrs with resolution of symptoms and screen negative.	48- 72 hrs Broad spectrum abx	Resume feeds at 50% volume and advance by 25% every 3 rd feed to goal volume.
IIA	Proven NEC- Mild illness	Same as above	Same as +/- abdominal tenderness	Intestinal dilation, ileus, Pneumatosis intestinalis	Q12h KUB. NPO until resolution of Pneumatosis in 2 KUB (12hr apart) with documentation of Bowel sounds.	Ampicillin + Gentamicin 5 days	Feed at 20ckd until completion of antibiotics and advance by 25% every 3 rd feed to goal volume.
IIB	Proven NEC Mod illness	Same as above + Mild metabolic acidosis, mild thrombocytopenia	Same as above + abdominal tenderness, abd cellulitis or RLQ mass	Same as IIA, Portal venous gas +/- ascites	Same as above + off pressors and improvement in metabolic acidosis & thrombocytopenia and decline in CRP	Ampicillin + Gentamicin 7 days	Trophic feeds at 20ckd until completion of antibiotics and advance by 25% of goal feeds Q12H to goal feeds.
IIIA	Advanced NEC- Severely ill, bowel intact	Same as IIB + hypotension, bradycardia, respiratory and metabolic acidosis, DIC and neutropenia	Same as above + peritonitis	Same as IIB, definite ascites	Resolution of pneumatosis /portal air in 2 KUB (12hr apart) + off pressors, improvement in metabolic acidosis & thrombocytopenia and after 7days NPO	Ampicillin + Gentamicin + Metronidazole 10 days	Trophic feeds 20ckd until completion of antibiotics and advance by 25% goal feeds Q12H.
IIIB	Advanced NEC- Severely ill bowel perforated	Same as IIIA	Same as above	Same as IIB plus Pneumoperitoneum	Until completion of ABX for NEC	Ampicillin + Gentamicin + Metronidazole 14 days	Refer to surgical NEC guidelines
SIP	Mild ill +Perforation	Mild, usually none	Benign	Pneumoperitoneum	Until completion of ABX for NEC	Ampicillin + Gentamicin + Metronidazole	Refer to surgical NEC guidelines

Source: Lebonheur Children's Guideline (with permission to use)

References

- Mukhopadhyay, S., Sengupta, S., & Puopolo, K. M. (2019). Challenges and opportunities for antibiotic stewardship among preterm infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 104(3), F327–F332. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2018-315412>
- Pace, D., Mack, S. J., Chan, S., et al. (2023). Antimicrobial stewardship in neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis: A quality improvement initiative. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 58(10), 1982–1989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2023.06.009>
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit_FINAL_Full%20Toolkit_0.pdf
- Ting, J. Y., Synnes, A., Roberts, A., et al. (2016). Association between antibiotic use and neonatal mortality and morbidities in very low-birth-weight infants without proven sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 170(12), 1181–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.2132>

Nursing Focus: Education & Nursing Care

Standard nursing education is needed. Suggestions for this education are included in Appendix 2.

Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH), Chronic Lung Disease (CLD), and Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC)

TIPQC has developed an Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH), Chronic Lung Disease (CLD), and Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) Projects designed for all infants born in Tennessee. Further information on these projects and how to improve your rates can be obtained in the TIPQC toolkits for these projects.

References-

- TIPQC Tennessee Tiniest Babies: The Prevention of Necrotizing Enterocolitis. Available at: <https://tipqc.org/nec/>
- TIPQC Tennessee Tiniest Babies: The Prevention of Chronic Lung Disease. Available at: <https://tipqc.org/project-chronic-lung-disease/>
- TIPQC Tennessee Tiniest Babies: The Prevention of Severe Intraventricular Hemorrhage. Available at: <https://tipqc.org/project-intraventricular-hemorrhageproject/>

Measures: *How will we know that a change is an improvement?*

Target population

- All inborn and outborn infants (transfers) **born at 29 weeks, 6 days or less gestation** admitted **within 24 hours of birth** should be captured.
- In the case of multiples (e.g., twins), a record should be captured for **each** infant.
- **Exclude** infants that died in the delivery room in your data collection.
- **Exclude** infants that died within 24 hours of admission to your NICU in data collection.
- All infants transferred will stay with the receiving hospital UNLESS HAI occurs within 48 hours transfer.

Outcome measures

Outcome measures will be calculated from the captured “HAI Infant Form” and entered into the designated platform **for each infant in the project that meets target population criteria**.

Outcome Measures #1-#4 will be based on exposure period each month. For numerators, there **may be more than one event per patient** in the time period for these measures. Outcome Measure #5 (mortality) will be measured based on

infant date of birth as in previous projects. As with Vermont Oxford Network (VON), date of birth is considered Day 1. *Outcome measures #1 and #5 will be collected by race, ethnicity, and payor status based on the number of patients in the unit monthly. See form for details.*

- #1. Number of NICU positive blood cultures **events** (excluding admission, day of life [DoL] 4 and forward only)^ among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU / Total patient-days among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (typically reported per 1,000 patient-days)
- #2. Number of NICU Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infections (CLABSI) **events*** (after central line in place for >2 consecutive calendar days) among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU / Total central-line days among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (A central-line day is each patient-day with ≥1 central line in place and is considered 1 central-line day regardless of number of lines in place.)
- #3. Number of NICU Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) **events** (day of life [DoL] 4 and forward only)** among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU / Total patient-days among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (typically reported per 1,000 patient-days)
- #4. Number of NICU Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) **events***** among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (intubated >72 hours) / Total ventilator-days among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU
- #5: Mortality: Number of infants deceased among infants ≤29.6 weeks / Number of infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU in NICU (This measure will be based on date of birth of infant like previous measures and is not dependent on hospital exposure time).

Baseline Data among targeted population ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (These do not need to be collected by race, ethnicity, or payor status):

- Participating NICUs will retrospectively capture and report number of infants with positive blood culture events (excluding admission, DoL 4 and forward only) per number of infants ≤29.6 weeks admitted in NICU per month for the year prior to the project start (2025 monthly data).
- Participating NICUs will retrospectively capture and report CLABSI events (after central line in place for >2 consecutive calendar days) per number of infants ≤29.6 weeks admitted in NICU per month for the year prior to the project start (2025 monthly data).
- Participating NICUs will retrospectively capture and report annual mortality per number of infants ≤29.6 weeks admitted in NICU per year prior to the project start (2021-2025 annual data).

These Baseline Data will be calculated from the captured “TIPQC TTB- HAI PROJECT BASELINE Data Collection Form” sent via a Microsoft Excel document to the hospital team’s data contact and day-to-day contact.

Use the following definitions of Positive Blood Culture, Central Line-Associated Blood Stream infections (CLABSI), Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP), and Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) cases when capturing data on these Outcome Measures:

^Positive bloodstream infection definition (excluding admission, DOL 4 and forward): Any laboratory confirmed bacterial growth on a blood culture not obtained at birth or associated with confirming proper treatment of a congenital infection. If the positive blood culture is identified as a contaminant by the medical team, there will be a place to note this each month (in annotation of graph). After any positive blood culture, blood cultures should be tested until a negative test is obtained (these positive tests after the first positive do not count in your numerator). After a negative blood culture is obtained, the infant is considered cleared of infection. Antibiotics are then continued for 7 to 14 days depending on treatment indicated. A positive blood culture could recur after 14 days and would count as a second event for the infant. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

If your center routinely draws 2 blood cultures at the same time during a septic work-up or for follow-up cultures after a positive screen, count that as 1 culture. If either of those cultures (or both), result as positive, only count as 1 positive during the initial sepsis screen. If you repeat cultures after a positive culture to determine when infection has cleared, count each of those cultures individually. See example scenarios below:

1. Baby A became clinically ill, and 2 cultures were drawn (peripheral and from the PICC). Neither were positive. Count as 1 culture, 0 positive cultures.
2. Baby B became clinically ill, and 2 cultures were drawn (peripheral and from the PICC). Peripheral culture was positive. Count as 1 culture, 1 positive culture. Baby B has an additional culture drawn 48 hours later and it was positive. Additional culture was drawn 48 hours after that and was negative. Count as 3 cultures (initial workup + 2 additional cultures), 2 positive cultures (initial + additional).
3. Baby C became clinically ill, and 2 cultures were drawn (peripheral and from the PICC). Both peripheral culture and PICC culture were positive. Baby C has additional cultures drawn 48 hours later from both the PICC and peripheral, and they were negative. Count as 2 cultures (initial workup + additional screen cultures), 1 positive culture (only initial).

***Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infections (CLABSI) definition (see NHSN) (after central line in place >2 consecutive calendar days):** After central line in place for >2 consecutive calendar days) A laboratory confirmed bloodstream Infection that is not secondary to an infection at another body site. A central-line day is each patient-day with ≥ 1 central line in place and is considered 1 central-line day regardless of the number of lines in place. If the positive blood culture is identified as a contaminant by the medical team, there will be a place to note this each month (in annotation of graph). After any positive blood culture, blood cultures should be tested until a negative test is obtained (these positive tests after the first positive do not count in your numerator). After a negative blood culture is obtained, the infant is considered cleared of infection. Antibiotics are then continued for 7 to 14 days depending on treatment indicated. A positive blood culture could recur after 14 days and would count as a second event for the infant. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

Types of Central Lines would include the following:

1. Permanent Central line:
 - a. Tunneled catheters, including tunneled dialysis catheters
 - b. Implanted catheters (including ports)
 2. Temporary central line: A non-tunneled, non-implanted catheter
 3. Umbilical catheter: A vascular catheter inserted through the umbilical artery or vein in a neonate. All umbilical catheters are central lines.
- Refer to 4-3 and 4-6 of National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Patient Safety Component Manual https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/pscmanual_current.pdf for detailed guidance.

****Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) definition (DOL 4 and forward):** Diagnosis is made on the basis of the presence of both pyuria and at least 50,000 CFU/mL of a single uropathogenic organism or a colony count between 10,000 and 50,000 CFU/mL with associated pyuria detected on urinalysis. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

If the specimen was obtained by a suprapubic bladder aspiration, any growth $\geq 1,000$ CFU/mL is diagnostic.
Criteria for treatment:

a. $\geq 50,000$ CFU/mL (either I&O [In and Out] catheterization or SBA), with or without pyuria (≥ 5 WBC/HPF [centrifuged urine] or ≥ 10 WBC/mm³ [unspun])

b. Lower colony count ($\geq 10,000$) may be treated if associated with pyuria

c. Polymicrobial urine culture: consider as contaminant unless repeated and shows significant colony count of single organism as indicated above

Reference: Magers, J., Burton, A., & Prusakov, P., et al. (2026). Urinary tract infection in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Perinatology*, 44:754-760.

*****Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) definition (intubated >72 hours)** in a mechanically ventilated neonate (intubated >72 hours). If the positive endotracheal tube aspirate culture is identified as a contaminant by the medical team, there will be a place to note this each month (in annotation of graph). *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

Clinical suspicion of VAP requires both of the following:

1. Radiographic changes: New or worsening infiltrates (consolidation, new/persistent infiltrate, or progression on serial chest imaging).

2. Clinical deterioration: At least 3 of the following signs/symptoms:

- Worsening gas exchange (increased FiO₂ or mean airway pressure requirements, recurrent desaturations)
- Temperature instability ($>38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $<36.5^{\circ}\text{C}$)
- Increased volume or purulent endotracheal secretions
- Apnea, tachypnea, or increased retractions
- Bradycardia or tachycardia
- Leukocytosis or leukopenia with left shift/bandemia
- Elevated inflammatory markers (e.g., CRP or procalcitonin rise from baseline)
- Refer to 6-3 of *National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Pneumonia (Ventilator-associated [VAP] and non-ventilator-associated Pneumonia [PNEU]) Event* <https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/6pscvapcurrent.pdf> for detailed guidance.

As a reminder, this data will differ slightly from data reported to NHSN which is dependent on weight and not gestational age dependent.

These Outcome Measures will be calculated from the captured "HAI Infant Monthly Form" and entered into the designated platform **for each infant in the project that meets target population criteria.**

Process measures

- Total days on antibiotics among infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU (A day of therapy is any calendar day on which a patient receives at least one dose of a specific antibiotic. Example: if two different antibiotics are received on one day, that counts as two days of therapy.) (Reported Monthly)

Number of days on antibiotics (therapy) among infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU / Total patient-days among infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU (typically reported per 1,000 patient-days)

These Process measures will be calculated from the “TIPQC TTB- HAI PROJECT QUARTERLY DATA ENTRY” form.

- The percentage of observed instances where NICU healthcare staff (e.g., physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, etc.) perform hand hygiene correctly according to the defined protocol (e.g., before and after patient contact, before aseptic procedures, after exposure to bodily fluids, and after contact with patient surroundings). This can be collected by automated or direct observation. (Reported Quarterly)

Number of observed instances hand hygiene correctly performed / Number of total hand hygiene observations in unit for the quarter

- Provider & Nursing Education (Reported Quarterly)

Reported quarterly. Using the following increments (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 100%), report quarterly, the % of providers that have completed HAI education. Example: Q1 2024 - 10% (total %) of staff have completed training - Numerator = 10 Example: Q2 2024 - 20% (total %) of staff have completed training - Numerator = 20

Balancing measures

Number of skin injury **events** from skin disinfectants/ Total patient-days among infants ≤29.6 weeks in NICU (typically reported per 1,000 patient-days)

(Examples include: epidermal stripping, diaper dermatitis, extravasation injuries, pressure injuries based on esafes/hospital occurrence reports that staff submit. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*)

These Balancing measures will be calculated from the captured “HAI Infant Monthly Form”.

Structure measures

- Policy & Procedure
 - Established a standardized antibiotic stewardship policy and procedure (reviewed and updated in the last 2-3 years). (Teams should review and revise/update policy and procedure if it already exists.) This should include the following:
 - Pre-authorization protocol
 - 48-hour “hard stop” active for all antibiotics
 - Established a standardized policy and procedure on HAI identification (reviewed and updated in the last 2-3 years). (Teams should review and revise/update policy and procedure if it already exists.) This should include the following:
 - Identifying and controlling multi-drug resistant gram-negative rods and recognizing *Staph Aureus*
 - Hospital guidelines for both early and late onset sepsis (EOS and LOS) and NEC

- Established a standardized policy and procedure on safety culture (reviewed and updated in the last 2-3 years). (Teams should review and revise/update policy and procedure if it already exists.) This should include the following:
 - Annual survey of staff on safety culture
 - Safety huddle frequency
- Established a standardized policy and procedure on regular audits for HAI prevention (reviewed and updated in the last 2-3 years). (Teams should review and revise/update policy and procedure if it already exists.) This should include the following:
 - Conducting regular audits on handwashing (automated or direct observation)
 - Conducting central line audits and maintenance
 - Conducting terminal clean audits based on percent of bed spaces passing ATP/fluorescent marker test

Reported quarterly. Report your progress in the implementation of guidelines in percent increments (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 100%) quarterly.

- Patient/Family Partner

Reported quarterly. Using the following increments (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 100%), report quarterly, the % complete towards having a patient/family partner engaged in appropriate education and is actively participating on the improvement team.

An example for data collection is provided in the “TIPQC TTB- HAI PROJECT QUARTERLY DATA ENTRY” form.

Sustainment measures

- Number of CLD cases at 36 PMA per month/Number of infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU
- Number of sIVH cases per month/Number of infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU
- Number of NEC cases per month/Number of infants ≤ 29.6 weeks in NICU

Reported monthly. Numerator being indicated measure and denominator being any infant born less than 29.6 weeks gestation admitted to hospital, including transfers admitted within 24 hours of birth.

These Sustainment measures will be calculated from the captured “HAI Infant Form” monthly.

Data Collection

Participating NICUs will capture data on each infant using the provided “HAI Infant Form” and “HAI Infant Monthly Form”.


As mentioned, the defined Outcome, Process, and Balancing measures will be calculated from HAI Infant Forms and reported. Up-to-date data reporting will be available to the participating NICU teams as data is entered.

The defined Structure and Process measures will be collected quarterly and entered in by hospital teams. An example for data collection is provided in the “TIPQC TTB- HAI PROJECT QUARTERLY DATA ENTRY” form. Each participating NICU will be sent an email each quarter as a reminder to submit their data. Generated data reports will also include summaries of these Process and Structure measures.

Key Driver Diagram

A driver diagram is a visual display of a QI collaborative's (or team's) theory of what "drives," or contributes to, the achievement of the project aim – that is, the project's "theory of change." The far-right column of the driver diagram lists the specific change ideas to test using PDSA cycles.

TIPQC Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infections (HAI) Key Driver Diagram



References

1. Verkhan, M. T., Walden, M., & Forrest, S. (2021). Necrotizing enterocolitis. *Core Curriculum for Neonatal Intensive Care Nursing* (6th ed., pp. 522–524). St. Louis, MO: Elsevier.
2. Harris, L., Lewis, S., & Vardaman, S. (2024). Exclusive human milk diets and the reduction of necrotizing enterocolitis. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 24(5), 400–407.
3. Gregory, K. E., DeForge, C. E., Natale, K. M., Phillips, M., & Van Marter, L. J. (2011). Necrotizing enterocolitis in the premature infant: Neonatal nursery assessment, disease pathogenesis, and clinical presentation. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 11(3), 155–164.
4. Bell, D., Suna, J., Marathe, S. P., Perumal, G., Betts, K. S., Venugopal, P., Alphonso, N., & QPCR Group. (2022). Feeding neonates and infants prior to surgery for congenital heart defects: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Children*, 9(12), 1856. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children9121856>
5. Cohen, M., Steffen, E., Axelrod, R., Patel, S. N., Toczylowski, K., Perdon, C., Brown, D., Kaliappan, S., & Myers, M. (2020). Availability of feeding protocols for neonatal intensive care units in the United States. *Journal of Perinatology*, 40(6), 923–930. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-020-0673-z>
6. Ramani, M., & Ambalavanan, N. (2013). Feeding practices and necrotizing enterocolitis. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 40(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2012.12.001>
7. Neu, J. (2022). Prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 49(2), 195–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2022.02.002>
8. Anne, R. P., Kumar, J., Kumar, P., & Meena, J. (2023). Effect of oropharyngeal colostrum therapy on neonatal sepsis in preterm infants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 78(3), 471–487. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000003639>
9. Jain, S., Kumar, M., Tripathi, S., & Singh, S. N. (2022). Oral application of mother's own milk for prevention of late-onset sepsis in neonates: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Perinatology*, 42(4), 426–432. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-021-01207-8>
10. Ramasethu, J. (2020). Prevention of health care-associated infections in the NICU. *NeoReviews*, 21(8), e546–e558. <https://doi.org/10.1542/neo.21-8-e546>
11. Parker, L. A., Withers, J. H., & Talaga, E. (2018). Comparison of neonatal nursing practices for determining feeding tube insertion length and verifying gastric placement with current best evidence. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 18(4), 307–317. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000000528>
12. Parker, L. A., Magalhães, M., Desorcey-Scherer, K., Lamberti, M. T., Lorca, G. L., & Neu, J. (2022). Neonatal feeding tube colonization and the potential effect on infant health: A review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9, Article 775014. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.775014>
13. Hurrell, E., Kucerova, E., Loughlin, M., Caubilla-Barron, J., & Forsythe, S. J. (2009). Biofilm formation on enteral feeding tubes by *Cronobacter sakazakii*, *Salmonella* serovars, and other *Enterobacteriaceae*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 136(3), 227–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.09.003>

Appendix 1 - Definitions

1. **Bacteremia:** The gold standard for detection of bacteremia in newborns is a positive blood culture.
2. **Bacterial Meningitis:** The gold standard for the evaluation of meningitis is the analysis of the CSF, this includes the white blood cell count, glucose and protein levels, gram stain, and culture. Isolation of a bacterial pathogen from the CSF and/or detection of a bacterial pathogen in the CSF with molecular methods (e.g. PCR testing) is considered diagnostic.
3. **Central Line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) definition:** After central line in place for >2 consecutive calendar days, a Laboratory Confirmed Bloodstream Infection (LCBI) that is not secondary to an infection at another body site.

Types of Central Lines would include the following:

- A. Permanent Central line:
 - a. Tunneled catheters, including tunneled dialysis catheters
 - b. Implanted catheters (including ports)
- B. Temporary central line: A non-tunneled, non-implanted catheter
- C. Umbilical catheter: A vascular catheter inserted through the umbilical artery or vein in a neonate. All umbilical catheters are central lines.

A central-line day is each patient-day with ≥ 1 central line in place and is considered 1 central-line day regardless of the number of lines in place. If the positive blood culture is identified as a contaminant by the medical team, there will be a place to note this each month (in annotation of graph). After any positive blood culture, blood cultures should be tested until a negative test is obtained (these positive tests after the first positive do not count in your numerator). After a negative blood culture is obtained, the infant is considered cleared of infection. Antibiotics are then continued for 7 to 14 days depending on treatment indicated. A positive blood culture could recur after 14 days and would count as a second event for the infant. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

Refer to 4-3 and 4-6 of *National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Patient Safety Component Manual* https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/pscmanual_current.pdf for detailed guidance.

4. **Colonization:** The presence of bacteria, viruses, or fungi in or on the newborn (skin, endotracheal, gastrointestinal) but they are not causing illness or an immune system response.
5. **Infection:** An infection can be caused by bacteria, viruses, candida, or fungi and can occur in several areas of the body. For example, a urinary tract infection, pneumonia, and cellulitis are examples of an infection. But the blood culture remains sterile.
6. **Late Onset Sepsis (LOS):** infection after 72 hours of age, is predominantly hospital-acquired in preterm infants, with incidence peaking in the first weeks.
7. **Multi-Drug-Resistant Organisms (MDROs):** microorganisms, predominantly bacteria, that are resistant to one or more classes of antimicrobial agents
8. **Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) definition: (DOL 4 and forward):** Diagnosis is made on the basis of the presence of both pyuria and at least 50,000 CFU/mL of a single uropathogenic organism or a colony count between 10,000

and 50,000 CFU/mL with associated pyuria detected on urinalysis. *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

If the specimen was obtained by a suprapubic bladder aspiration, any growth $\geq 1,000$ CFU/mL is diagnostic.

Criteria for treatment:

a. $\geq 50,000$ CFU/mL (either I&O [In and Out] catheterization or SBA), with or without pyuria (≥ 5 WBC/HPF [centrifuged urine] or ≥ 10 WBC/mm³ [unspun])

b. Lower colony count ($\geq 10,000$) may be treated if associated with pyuria

c. Polymicrobial urine culture: consider as contaminant unless repeated and shows significant colony count of single organism as indicated above

9. **Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP)** in a mechanically ventilated neonate (intubated >72 hours). If the positive endotracheal tube aspirate culture is identified as a contaminant by the medical team, there will be a place to note this each month (in annotation of graph). *With this in mind, in mind there could be more than one event per infant per month.*

Clinical suspicion of VAP requires both of the following:

- A. Radiographic changes: New or worsening infiltrates (consolidation, new/persistent infiltrate, or progression on serial chest imaging).
- B. Clinical deterioration: At least 3 of the following signs/symptoms:
 - a. Worsening gas exchange (increased FiO₂ or mean airway pressure requirements, recurrent desaturations)
 - b. Temperature instability ($>38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $<36.5^{\circ}\text{C}$)
 - c. Increased volume or purulent endotracheal secretions
 - d. Apnea, tachypnea, or increased retractions
 - e. Bradycardia or tachycardia
 - f. Leukocytosis or leukopenia with left shift/bandemia
 - g. Elevated inflammatory markers (e.g., CRP or procalcitonin rise from baseline)

Diagnostic Evaluation: Endotracheal Aspirate (ETA) Guidance: When VAP is clinically suspected based on the criteria above, consider the potential benefit to obtaining a non-bronchoscopic (blind) tracheal aspirate through the existing ETT for:

- a. Gram stain (to assess purulence: >25 polymorphonuclear leukocytes per low-power field $\pm$ intracellular bacteria)
- b. Aerobic bacterial culture with semi-quantitative or quantitative reporting

Key considerations regarding colonization and timing:

- a. Endotracheal tubes become colonized rapidly (often within 48 hours), predominantly with gram-negative organisms in prolonged intubation.
- b. A positive culture from an ETT in place >48 hours must be interpreted cautiously as it may reflect colonization rather than true infection.
- c. However, in the setting of high clinical suspicion, an ETA may be useful: purulent Gram stain, heavy growth, or pathogenic organisms can support targeted antimicrobial therapy, while a negative or light-growth result (in the absence of prior antibiotics) can aid de-escalation or discontinuation.

When to avoid ETA:

- a. Do not obtain routine surveillance ETAs (e.g., weekly or at fixed intervals), regardless of intubation duration. These have low specificity, frequently isolate colonizing flora (e.g., coagulase-negative staphylococci, *Pseudomonas* spp., *Klebsiella* spp.), and drive unnecessary antibiotic exposure.
- b. Do not obtain ETA if clinical suspicion is low based on the criteria outlined above.
- c. Special circumstance: If reintubation is planned (e.g., for ETT change) and VAP suspicion is high, obtain a fresh ETA shortly after placement of the new tube to reduce colonization artifact.

Appendix 2 - Nursing Focus: Education, Assessment, & Nursing Care

As front-line providers of bedside care, nursing staff play a critical role in the prevention of hospital acquired infections. In addition to providing meticulous attention to hand hygiene practices and environmental cleaning and following evidence-based guidelines for the prevention of HAIs, nurses also demonstrate these principles every day to other staff members and to the families of our patients. Parents often model the care that they see nurses provide so this is an opportunity to provide important patient education.

Four areas have been identified repeatedly in the literature as crucial to any efforts to decrease hospital acquired infections. These areas are hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, aseptic technique, and parent education. This information first has to be shared with the nursing staff.¹ Khan et al. found that before the implementation of their structured education program the rate of HAIs in their NICU was 10.8% and after the program it had decreased to 5% ($p < 0.001$).²

Hand Hygiene: Alnadawy et al. evaluated 52 peer-reviewed studies that included over 50,000 patient cases from all healthcare settings and found that hand hygiene adherence led to a 35% reduction in HAIs.¹ One of the potentially best practices in this toolkit is focused on hand hygiene. Important education points for staff include but are not limited to:

- The definition of a full hand wash and when to perform it
- The role of alcohol-based hand sanitizing products and when they can be used
- What does “Bare Below the Elbows” include
- Guidelines for fingernails - natural and artificial, nail polish
- When gloves should be worn
- The importance of compliance monitoring, even professionally correct colleagues when a breach in hand hygiene is witnessed in real time
- More details on each of these concepts can be found in the toolkit.

Environmental cleaning is also an important aspect of preventing hospital acquired infections. The same work mentioned above by Alnadawy et al. found that when staff were provided structured training in aseptic techniques to apply for invasive procedures correlated with a 40% reduction in infections related to central lines.¹ All patient care areas, equipment, and bed spaces should be thoroughly cleaned between patients using hospital-approved disinfectants effective against common NICU pathogens. But, high touch surfaces and objects should be cleaned at the beginning of each shift and as needed. Other important strategies include using the right solutions to clean the right objects, cohort patients appropriately, proper storage of linens, barriers between caregivers when holding the newborn, and the regular cleaning of personal devices, for example cell phones. More details can be found in the toolkit.³

Aseptic Technique is a crucial component of reducing infections, especially catheter-associated infections. Marschall et al. found in their work that hospitals benefited from a 40% in catheter-associated bloodstream infections when they implemented protocols related to aseptic technique.⁴

Catheter-associated blood stream infections are a significant source of hospital-acquired infections, it is important to remember that any indwelling device can be a source of infection. Examples include peripheral IVs, OG or NG tubes, urinary catheters, and chest tubes. Any of these indwelling devices can also be a source of non-device-related infection if they become colonized with pathogens. Reevaluating the need for any indwelling device daily is a best practice. The toolkit goes into much more detail but practices to incorporate include:

- Ensuring that all central lines are inserted with the use of maximum sterile barriers
- Minimize entries into the lines but when entry is necessary, scrub hubs/ports with alcohol per unit policy
- Change tubings and fluids per unit policy
- Bundles have been shown to be very effective for preventing these infections

Parent Education

As mentioned before, nursing staff models proper hand hygiene and aseptic technique to parent with every encounter. Discussions with parents regarding the rationale for these techniques will enhance their understanding and improve their compliance. Other activities that involve the parents and have been shown to decrease hospital-acquired infections include:

- Skin-to-skin holding with parents
- Breastfeeding
- Mother's Own Milk (MOM)
- Oral immune therapy for the days prior to feedings being initiated
- Details are included in the toolkit

References

- Alnadawy, O., Fahad albogami, N., Alfahmi, M., SoolaHassan, Al, Haqwi, N., et al. (2024). Nursing interventions for preventing hospital-acquired infections. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, 7(S8), 538-547.
- Guzman-Cottrill, J. A., & Bryant, K. A. (2025). Keeping your neonatal intensive care unit clean: The hospital environment as a potential source of health care-associated infections. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 52(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2024.10.001>
- Khan, D., Wagar, F., Azim, N., Khan, O., & Sohail, A., (2024). Reduction of hospital-acquired infections through a nursing education program: A quality improvement project on the sensitization of nursing staff toward infection control in neonates. *Cureus*, 16(6), doi: 10.7759/cureus.62656.
- Johnson, J., Akiinboyo, I., & Schaffzin, J, (2021). Infection prevention in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Infusion Nursing*, 44(2), 413-429.
- Marshall, J., (2014). Impact of aseptic technique on infection rates. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 59(2), 85-93.
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2022). *Preventing hospital-acquired infection in the NICU: A CPQCC quality improvement toolkit*. California Perinatal Quality Care Collaborative. Retrieved from <https://cpqcc.org/files/pdf/event/2022%20HAI%20Toolkit>